

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/627,828	07/25/2003	Ronald D. Blum	63049.000092	3770
J. MICHAEL MARTINEZ DE ANDINO ESQ. HUNTON & WILLIAMS			EXAMINER SCHWARTZ, JORDAN MARC	
951 EAST BYRD ST. RICHMOND, VA 23219-4074			2873	
	•	•	DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/627,828	BLUM ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
	Jordan M. Schwartz	2873
Th MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply		ith the correspondence address
1 oned for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>1</u> M	ONTH(S) FROM
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	1 136/a) In no event however may a	eply be timely filed
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	reply within the statutory minimum of thirt iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	THS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the ments is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	· .	
4) Claim(s) 1-93 is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.	rawn from consideration.	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-93 are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement	
*	or ciconon requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1 121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n nnority under 35 H C C S	110(a) (d) == (0
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gir priority under 35 0.3.0. §	1 19(a)-(u) or (t).
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		plication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	iority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not re	eceived.
் ஆது நடித்த நித்திய இருந்து இது இருந்த இருந்த நிறும் நிறும் நிறும் இருந்து நிறும் இருந்து இருந்து இருந்து இருந்த இருந்து இருந்து இருந்த	ുന്നു. ഇവരു വരു പ്രതിയ ഇതിയുന്നത്തെ വൃശാന്ത്രത്ത് വരു വരു വരു വരു വ	് ത്ത്യാത്ത് ആ യാഗത്ത് വര് ഒരു വരും വരും വരും വരും വരുടെ വര്ത്ത് നിവരും വരും വരുടെ വര്ത്വന് താവരുന്ന
Attachment(s)	•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		•
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No /Mail Data 20040520

Art Unit: 2873

Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-41, drawn to an optical lens system for refracting light, classified in class 351, subclass 168.
- II. Claims 42-60 and 64, drawn to a spectacle lens, classified in class 351, subclass 159.
- III. Claims 61-63, drawn to a method for producing a spectacle lens, classified in class 351, subclass 177.
- IV. Claims 65-93, drawn to an electro-active lens, classified in class 359, subclass 642.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions in Group I and Group II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the optical lens system does not require wave-front analysis or correction for non-conventional error. The subcombination has separate utility such as for use as a spectacle lens within an eyeglass frame that corrects for non-conventional error.

Art Unit: 2873

Inventions in Group III and Group I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as by a process that does not determine a prescription for unconventional refractive error and that does not use wavefront analysis.

Inventions in Group I and Group IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the optical lens system does not require a plurality of electrodes or a grid or array of conductive electrodes. The subcombination has separate utility such as an electro-active lens for use in either a spectacle lens or a contact lens.

Inventions in Group III and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made

Art Unit: 2873

by another and materially different process such as by a process in which unconventional error is not based at all upon wavefront analysis.

Inventions in Group III and Group IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as by a process that does not determine lens prescription for unconventional error and that is not based at all upon wavefront analysis.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any one Group is not required for any other Group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Group IV contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species. Group IVa, claims 65-83 directed to a species of electroactive lens comprising electrodes which need not be in a grid or array and which can be of any thickness; and Group IVb, claims 84-93 directed to a species of electro-active

Art Unit: 2873

lens with an electro-active material having a substantial constant thickness and with electrodes in either a grid or array.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2873

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jordan M. Schwartz whose telephone number is (571) 272-2337. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday (8:00-5:30), alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached at (571) 272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jordan M. Schwartz

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2873

May 19, 2004